

**MINUTES**  
**SANDY CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
Sandy City Hall - Council Chamber Room #211  
10000 Centennial Parkway  
Sandy, Utah 84070

**FEBRUARY 27, 2007**

Meeting was commenced at 7:00 p.m.

**PRESENT:**

**Council Members:** Chairman Dennis Tenney, Vice Chairman Bryant Anderson, Scott Cowdell, Steve Fairbanks, Chris McCandless, Linda Martinez Saville, and Stephen Smith.

**Mayor:** Tom Dolan.

**Others in Attendance:** CAO Byron Jorgenson; Assistant CAO Scott Bond; City Attorney Walter Miller; Community Development Director Mike Coulam; Assistant Director Community Development Nick Duerksen; Long Range Planning Manager Jim McNulty; Public Works Director Rick Smith; City Engineer Mike Gladbach; Deputy to the Mayor John Hiskey; Emergency Management Coordinator Ken Kraudy; Police Chief Stephen Chapman; Council Office Director Phil Glenn; Council Executive Secretary Pam Lehman

**ABSENT/EXCUSED:**

**Councilman Scott Cowdell [Knee Surgery]**

1. **OPENING REMARKS/PRAYER/PLEDGE:**

The Prayer was offered by **Councilman Bryant Anderson**, and the Pledge was led by **Councilwoman Linda Martinez Saville**.

2. **CITIZEN(S) COMMENTS:**

a. There were no Citizen Comments.

**COUNCIL ITEMS:**

3. **Code Amendment: Maximum Building Heights- Regional Commercial District**

Public Hearing to consider the following: A Code Amendment to Sections 15-04-02(A), Table of Maximum Building Heights, and 15-04-03(B), Standards Unique to the "Regional Commercial District" (RC) – Title 15, Land Development Code, Revised Ordinances of Sandy City.

**Discussion:** Planning Manager Jim McNulty reported that the Sandy City Community Development Department has filed a request to amend Sections 15-04-02(A), Table of Maximum Building Heights, and 15-04-03(B), Standards Unique to the "Regional Commercial District" (RC). Recently, restrictions on building height have been discussed relative to the future construction of the Real Salt Lake Soccer Stadium. Current ordinance restricts building height at 40 feet, with an allowance up to 65 feet if additional setback is provided (one additional foot of height for each additional foot of setback). Staff is proposing to add a couple of exceptions within the "Regional Commercial District" (RC) relative to building height. One exception will be provided for buildings along the east side of State Street, with the other for buildings on the west side. The proposed changes have been attached as **Exhibits "A" and "B"**.

**PROPOSAL**

It is proposed to amend Sections 15-04-02(A), Table of Maximum Building Heights, and 15-04-03(B), Standards Unique to the Regional Commercial District" (RC). The ordinance amendment is intended to provide some flexibility for future building construction in the City. Specifically, the proposed ordinance amendment would allow for additional building height to accommodate the future Real Salt Lake Soccer Stadium. ***The key changes include allowing buildings with a maximum height of 75 feet west of State Street, and allowing for appurtenances that are constructed for the purpose of mitigating noise and/or light to project to a maximum height of 115 feet.***

**NON-CONFORMING USES**

City Council Meeting Minutes

The proposed ordinance amendment will not create any additional non-conforming uses within the RC zoning district.

**LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE PURPOSE COMPLIANCE**

The Sandy City Land Development Code in §15-01-03 lists the nine criteria explaining the intent and purpose of the Ordinance. The purpose and criteria are:

**15-01-03 Purpose**

This Code shall establish Zone Districts within Sandy City. It shall provide regulations within said districts with respect to the use, location, height of buildings and structures, the use of land, the size of lots, yards and other open spaces, and the density of population. This Code shall provide methods of administration and enforcement and provide penalties for the violation thereof. It shall establish boards and commissions and define their powers and duties. It shall also provide for planned development within Sandy City. Specifically, this Code is established to promote the following purposes:

**General**

1. To enhance the economic well being of Sandy City and its inhabitants;
2. To stabilize property values;
3. To facilitate adequate provision for transportation, water, sewage, schools, parks and other public requirements;
4. To facilitate the orderly growth and development of Sandy City;

**Implementation of General Plan**

5. To coordinate and ensure the execution of the City's General Plan through effective implementation of development review requirements, adequate facility and services review and other goals, policies or programs contained in the General Plan.

**Comprehensive, Consistent and Equitable Regulations**

6. To establish a system of fair, comprehensive, consistent and equitable regulations, standards and procedures for review and approval of all proposed land development within the City.

**Efficiently and Effectively Managed Procedures**

7. To promote fair procedures that are efficient and effective in terms of time and expense;
8. To be effective and responsive in terms of the allocation of authority and delegation of powers and duties among ministerial, appointed and elected officials; and
9. To foster a positive customer service attitude and to respect the rights of all applicants and affected citizens.

The proposed ordinance amendment is consistent with the purpose of statement #6. These provisions would allow for a system of fair, comprehensive, consistent and equitable regulations, standards and procedures that are required of all proposed development within Sandy City.

**GENERAL PLAN COMPLIANCE**

The General Plan encourages appropriate development standards for all uses and zoning categories within Sandy City.

**OTHER**

City staff has evaluated this proposal and determined that allowing additional building height within the RC zoning district will allow for better compatibility with other zoning districts along the I-15 corridor. Currently, the CBD zoning district along the west side of State Street allows for building height up to 140 feet. Also, the RD zoning district allows for building height up to 80 feet along the west side of I-15 and 60 feet along the east side of the corridor. Staff has determined that the proposed building heights for the RC zoning district would be compatible with the previously mentioned zoning districts.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

City Council Meeting Minutes

The Community Development Department respectfully requests that the Planning Commission forward a positive recommendation to the City Council to adopt the proposed ordinance amendment as shown in **Exhibits "A" and "B"**, attached, for the following reasons:

1. The proposed ordinance amendment is consistent with the Purpose of the Land Development Code.
2. The proposed ordinance amendment is consistent with the Goals and Policies of the General Plan.
3. The proposed ordinance amendment will provide greater flexibility during the review of future development projects within the RC zoning district.

**Exhibit "A"**

15-04-02 **Development Standards Applicable to All-Commercial, Industrial, Office or Hospital Zones**

1. **Table of Maximum Building Heights.**

Zone Classification	Building Height	Max Height within 250' of Residential District
<b>RC District</b>	<b>See 15-04-03(B)</b>	
CC District	50 <sup>2</sup>	-
CN District	40	-
CN(HSN) District	40	-
BC District	50 <sup>3</sup>	35
CvC	30	-
HBD	40	-
CR-PUD	70	-
LC	40	
PO	See 15-04-03-K	
ID	80 <sup>4</sup>	-
H	105 <sup>5</sup>	-
CBD	140 <sup>6</sup>	35 <sup>6</sup>
CBD-P	See 15-04-03-A	
CBD-O	See 15-04-03-A	
AM, Dealership Area	40 <sup>1</sup>	-
AM, Commercial Area	40 <sup>1</sup>	-
RD	80 <sup>7</sup>	- <sup>7</sup>

Note: Footnotes next to specific building heights denote building height bonuses, more restrictive height limitations, how building heights are calculated or additional restrictions adjacent to residential uses and districts (less than 250 feet).

**Exhibit "B"**

15-04-03(B) **Regional Commercial (RC) - Purpose.** The Regional Commercial District is established to

City Council Meeting Minutes

stimulate economic development by allowing for a diversity of land uses in areas of Sandy City that are accessible to regional transportation facilities and developed within "Planned Commercial Centers." The district is intended to stimulate creative development and site design for highway commercial uses.

(1)

**Building Height.**

**East of State Street.** *For buildings within 100 feet of an R-1 residential district boundary, they may be erected to a maximum height of 40 feet. An additional height bonus of one [1] additional foot of height per additional two [2] feet from the required minimum setback may be granted up to a maximum height of sixty [60] feet. The Planning Commission may increase the required setback or require additional architectural elements for buildings taller than 35 feet from the east property line, if after due consideration, deem it necessary to mitigate any negative impacts that the proposed development may have on residential development.*

**West of State Street.** *Buildings may be built to a maximum height of 75 feet. Buildings where appurtenances are constructed for the purpose of mitigating noise and/or light may be built to a maximum of 115 feet. For those developments where any portion of a building is within 100 feet of an R-1 residential district boundary, they may be erected to a maximum height of 40 feet. An additional height bonus of one [1] additional foot of height for each additional two [2] feet of setback from the required minimum setback may be granted up to a maximum height of 60 feet for any portion of the building within the 100 foot buffer area. Maximum building height shall be measured from average finish grade to the top of the roof.*

**Chairman Tenney opened then closed the public hearing, as there were no comments.**

**Steve Smith** asked how the height variations between the east and west side of State Street were determined by Staff, and how building heights are measured

**Jim McNulty** reported that the amendments to the code would allow building heights on the east of State Street within 100 feet of an R-1 residential district, to be erected to a maximum height of 40 feet. Additional height may be granted up to a maximum height of sixty feet. The Planning Commission may increase the required setback, or require additional architectural elements for buildings taller than 35 feet. The building heights are measured from the finished grade of the property to the highest peak of the building.

**Bryant Anderson** asked how building heights are determined when the property sits below the level of the street.

**Assistant Community Development Director Nick Duerksen** reported that buildings that sit below the level of the street are required to meet the maximum building height of 75 feet. If buildings are constructed with appurtenances to mitigate noise and/or light, they could be built to a maximum of 115 feet.

**Chris McCandless** asked how the ten-story office building at Jordan Commons conforms to the recent changes made in the code. Mr. McCandless believes that the time is right to modify height requirements for this area of the city.

**Mike Coulam** reported that a special zone was created for the 10- story office building at Jordan Commons.

**Motion:** **Chris McCandless** made the motion to have formal documents brought back next week to adopt the Code Amendment: Maximum Building Heights- Regional Commercial District, amending Sections 15-04-02(A), Table of Maximum Building Heights, and 15-04-03(B), Standards Unique to the "Regional Commercial District" (RC) – Title 15, Land Development Code, as recommended by Staff and the Planning Commission.

City Council Meeting Minutes

**Second: Steve Fairbanks**

**Vote: McCandless – Yes, Fairbanks – Yes, Smith - Yes, Anderson – Yes,  
Saville –Yes, Cowdell – Absent, Tenney – Yes.**

**Motion Approved: 6 in favor – 1 absent**

**Kuhre Plaza Rezone**

4. Public Hearing to consider a request submitted by Brent Ivie of Kuhre Development LLC, to rezone approximately .47 acres from the HBD “Historic Business District” and the R-1-7.5 (HS) “Residential District” to the MU “Mixed Use District”. The property is located at approximately 179 East Main Street In Historic Sandy. The proposed rezoning would help facilitate development of a small mixed-use project along Main Street in accordance with the Historic Sandy Neighborhood Plan.

**Discussion:** Long Range Planning Manager Jim McNulty reported that Mr. Brent Ivie of Kuhre Development is requesting to rezone approximately .47 acres from the HBD “Historic Sandy Business District” and R-1-7.5(HS) “Residential District” to the MU “Mixed Use District”. The property is located at approximately 179 East Main Street in Historic Sandy. The proposed rezoning would help facilitate the development of a small mixed-use project along Main Street in accordance with the Historic Sandy Neighborhood Plan.

The property was part of the original “Old Town Sandy Plat” that was created with the founding of the City in 1893. The property currently has an old historic building located on it that fronts onto Main Street. The building will remain as part of the overall proposed project. The use of the building over the years has been primarily for office type uses.

**ANALYSIS**

The purpose of a mixed use zone is to provide a zone to be used near city transportation corridors that allows a mix of specific land uses that are typically found separately in ‘mutually exclusive’ zoning districts. Mixed use represents a departure from characteristic zoning to the extent that it encourages a combination of land uses, which might normally be regarded as incompatible.

The **intent of the mixed use zone**, through the **development of a master site plan** is to create self-sustaining pedestrian friendly neighborhoods, in which residents may walk to work, to shopping, to recreational facilities, and have access to mass transit. These neighborhoods would provide a variety of housing opportunities and choices that include a range of household types, family sizes, and incomes. They would also **provide convenient pedestrian commercial services, employment opportunities**, and should be located in areas with existing, or probable future, multiple transportation choices.

Under the proposed MU Zone an opportunity would be available for the applicant to develop horizontal or vertical mixed use. The applicant is proposing to develop a project that will incorporate a small vertical mixed-use project. The project is being proposed as a two-story building with the first level being office and the second level being condominiums. The existing historic building will remain on the site and will be part of the overall master site plan. As the proposed buildings are developed just to the north of the existing historic building, they will front along 190 East and will have an architectural theme that will incorporate the existing historic building. The theme will be established by following the design guidelines in the Historic Sandy Neighborhood Plan that include guidelines for architectural design and character, landscaping and streetscape and building setbacks.

The proposed project would provide owner occupied housing, convenient commercial services and employment opportunities that would be located in an area with existing multiple transportation choices. **This type of project under the MU Zone would also support and act as a much-needed catalyst for both existing and future commercial and retail tax base growth in the area, while at the same time reducing vehicular trips.**

The Historic Sandy Neighborhood Plan adopted in October 2006 addresses the proposed land uses for this area and states the following concerning the mixed use concept for Main Street.

***The historic commercial center of Main Street and Center Street is envisioned as a mixed use neighborhood center with town-homes, loft apartments/condos, and traditional storefront commercial. Typically two-three stories with historic design guidelines such as flat roofs, large arched picture windows, historically compatible color schemes, short setbacks, and parking to the side or back, not in the front. Pedestrian amenities in the Mixed Use Zone would include street trees, benches, awnings, and wider sidewalks.***

The Historic Sandy Neighborhood Plan also recommends the following on Page 45:

- *Encourage ground floor retail development—especially neighborhood shops, restaurants, cafes and specialty shops along Main Street to enliven street fronts.*
- *Encourage mixed uses of residential with compatible office and retail uses within the same structure or development along Main Street.*
- *Encourage existing structures when possible along, Main Street and Center Street to be preserved or integrated into new developments.*
- *Develop design guidelines for the Main Street and Center Street areas. Also encourage the adoption of a mixed-use zone for the area.*

The mixed-use concept would help in the success of Main Street by bringing in additional people who would shop or work at the commercial uses they live above. The mixed use concept would also begin to help in the revitalization and beautification efforts that would hopefully make Main Street and Center Street an attractive place, offering a convenient pedestrian-oriented environment for people to live, shop, eat, and generally visit. In addition the nearby light rail station offers an opportunity for people to have good access both to visit and live in the area without the need of a vehicle.

On January 9, 2006 the applicant had a neighborhood meeting regarding the proposed development. The minutes from the neighborhood meeting have been included in the staff report.

Consideration regarding any development on this property should take into account the following issues as part of the site plan review process.

- a. Parking
- b. Landscaping and streetscape requirements
- c. Signage and street lighting
- d. Project compatibility with the historic neighborhood

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the proposed Kuhre Plaza Rezoning of approximately .47 acres be rezoned from the HBD "Historic Sandy Business District" and R-1-7.5 (HS) "Residential District" to the MU "Mixed Use District" based on the following findings:

- a. The proposed rezoning is in harmony with the Historic Sandy Neighborhood Plan. The neighborhood plan recommends that the subject property (Main Street) be rezoned for a mixed use type development.
- b. The proposed project would provide housing, convenient commercial services and employment opportunities that would be located adjacent to the light rail station.
- c. This type of project under the MU Zone will act as a much needed catalyst for both the existing and future commercial and retail tax base growth in the area.
- d. Development of a high quality project would be required under the proposed MU District as it would require Planning Commission review.
- e. The mixed use concept would also begin to help in the revitalization and beautification efforts that would hopefully make Main Street and Center Street an attractive place to come, offering a convenient pedestrian-oriented environment for people to live, shop, eat, and generally visit.

**Chairman Tenney opened and closed public hearing; there were no public comments made.**

**Motion:** **Chris McCandless** made the motion to have formal documents bought back next week to adopt the Kuhre Plaza Rezone, rezoning approximately .47 acres from the HBD "Historic Business District" and the R-1-7.5 (HS) "Residential District" to the MU "Mixed Use District" located at approximately 179 East Main Street in Historic Sandy, with the recommendations by Staff and the Planning Commission.

**Second:** **Linda Martinez Saville**

**Vote:** **McCandless – Yes, Saville – Yes, Anderson – Yes, Fairbanks – Yes, Smith - Yes, Cowdell – Absent, Tenney – Yes.**

**Motion Approved: 6 in favor – 1 absent**

## **5. Code Amendment: Guarantee for Improvements (Bonding)**

Public Hearing to consider the following: A Code Amendment to Sections 15-09-11 & 15-09-21, Subdivision Ordinance, and Section 15-13-17, Guarantee for Improvements (Bonding) – Title 15, Land Development Code, Revised Ordinances of Sandy City.

**Discussion:** **Long Range Planning Manager Jim McNulty** reported that the Sandy City Community Development & Public Works Departments have filed a request to amend Sections 15-09-11 & 15-09-21, Subdivision Ordinance, and Section 15-13-17, Guarantee for Improvements (Bonding) - Title 15, Land Development Code, Revised Ordinance of Sandy City.

Recently, a Bonding Review Committee was formed to review the Code and rewrite as necessary any provisions that could be revised to better support the development community without adding unnecessary risk to the City. The Committee consisted of members representing the Public Works, Public Utilities, Community Development and City Attorney's Offices. During the past few months, this Committee met six times to come up with the proposed ordinance amendments. The proposed changes have been attached as **Exhibit "A"**.

## **PROPOSAL**

It is proposed to amend Sections 15-09-11 & 15-09-21, Subdivision Ordinance, and Section 15-13-17, Guarantee for Improvements (Bonding). The proposed ordinance amendments are intended to provide some flexibility for future construction and development in Sandy City. Several changes were generated from this review process, however, some of the key changes include the following:

A recommendation that the bond consist of up to four estimates.

The addition of individual lot landscaping for PUD's be addressed as a separate itemized part of the bond.

The introduction of a new 80% release for Public Utilities, thus allowing for large releases of funds early in construction, but insuring enough to guarantee work .

## **NON-CONFORMING USES**

The proposed ordinance amendments will not create any additional non-conforming uses within Sandy City.

## **LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE PURPOSE COMPLIANCE**

The Sandy City Land Development Code in §15-01-03 lists the nine criteria explaining the intent and purpose of the Ordinance. The purpose and criteria are:

### **15-01-03 Purpose**

This Code shall establish Zone Districts within Sandy City. It shall provide regulations within said districts with respect to the use, location, height of buildings and structures, the use of land, the size of lots, yards and other open spaces, and the density of population. This Code shall provide methods of administration and enforcement and provide penalties for the violation thereof. It shall establish boards and commissions and define their powers and duties. It shall also provide for planned development within Sandy City. Specifically, this Code is established to promote the following purposes:

**General**

1. To enhance the economic well being of Sandy City and its inhabitants;
2. To stabilize property values;
3. To facilitate adequate provision for transportation, water, sewage, schools, parks and other public requirements;
4. To facilitate the orderly growth and development of Sandy City;

**Implementation of General Plan**

5. To coordinate and ensure the execution of the City's General Plan through effective implementation of development review requirements, adequate facility and services review and other goals, policies or programs contained in the General Plan.

**Comprehensive, Consistent and Equitable Regulations**

6. To establish a system of fair, comprehensive, consistent and equitable regulations, standards and procedures for review and approval of all proposed land development within the City.

**Efficiently and Effectively Managed Procedures**

7. To promote fair procedures that are efficient and effective in terms of time and expense;
8. To be effective and responsive in terms of the allocation of authority and delegation of powers and duties among ministerial, appointed and elected officials; and
9. To foster a positive customer service attitude and to respect the rights of all applicants and affected citizens.

The proposed ordinance amendments are consistent with the purpose of statement #6. These provisions would allow for a system of fair, comprehensive, consistent and equitable regulations, standards and procedures that are required of all proposed development within Sandy City.

**GENERAL PLAN COMPLIANCE**

The General Plan encourages appropriate development standards for all uses and zoning categories within Sandy City.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

The Community Development Department respectfully requests that the Planning Commission forward a positive recommendation to the City Council to adopt the proposed ordinance amendments as shown in **Exhibit "A"**, attached, for the following reasons:

- a. The proposed ordinance amendments are consistent with the Purpose of the Land Development Code.
- b. The proposed ordinance amendments are consistent with the Goals and Policies of the General Plan.
- c. The proposed ordinance amendments will provide greater flexibility during the future construction and development of projects within Sandy City.

**Mike Coulam** reported that over the past six months, members of the Bonding Review Committee reviewed and rewrote any provisions that could be revised to better support the development community without adding unnecessary risk to the City. Staff believes that the revisions made to the code will benefit the city and development community.

**Chairman Tenney opened the Public Hearing. As there were no comments, the hearing was closed.**

**Chris McCandless** stated that, from his perspective as a city council member and developer, the revisions and updates that have been made to the code are a "great step forward" for the city and developers. He complimented Staff and the City Attorneys' for their efforts.

**Bryant Anderson** stated that he also believes that these revisions will help lighten some of the burdens



**Motion:** **Steve Fairbanks** made the motion to have formal documents bought back adopting the Code Amendment: Guarantee for Improvements (Bonding), amending Sections 15-09-11 & 15-09-21, Subdivision Ordinance, And Section 15-13-17, Guarantee for Improvements (Bonding), as recommended by Staff and the Planning Commission.

**Second:** **Bryant Anderson**

**Vote:** **Fairbanks – Yes, Anderson – Yes, McCandless- Yes, Smith - Yes, Saville –Yes, Cowdell – Absent, Tenney – Yes.**

**Motion Approved: 6 in favor – 1 absent**

**COUNCIL ITEMS:**

6. **Corey Angell Rezone**

**Ordinance #07- 07** - rezoning approximately 0.02 acres of property located at approximately 8951 South 1300 East, from the R-1-8 "Residential District" to the RM-12 "Residential Multi-family District".

**Motion:** **Chris McCandless** made the motion to adopt **Ordinance #07-07**, Corey Angell Rezone, to rezone approximately 0.02 acres of property located at approximately 8951 South 1300 East, from the R-1-8 "Residential District" to the RM-12 "Residential Multi-family District".

**Second:** **Linda Martinez Saville**

**Vote:** **McCandless- Yes, Saville- Yes, Anderson –Yes, Smith - Yes, Fairbanks - Yes, Cowdell – Absent, Tenney – Yes.**

**Motion Approved: 6 in favor – 1 absent**

**MINUTES:**

7. Approving the **February 13, 2007** City Council Meeting Minutes.

**Motion:** **Steve Smith** made the motion to approve the **February 13, 2007** City Council Meeting Minutes as written.

**Second:** **Steve Fairbanks**

**Vote:** **The Council voted unanimously in the affirmative to the motion.**

**Motion Approved**

8. **MAYOR'S REPORT**

- a. **Mayor Dolan** expressed appreciation to John Hiskey for his dedication and time spent at this year's Legislative Session. Mayor Dolan reported on the following bills that were recently passed by the Legislature. They approved the establishment of an advisory committee on the expenditure of restaurant taxes, and the \$5.00 municipal parking fee, with an amendment allowing cities to create Interlocal Agreements with other communities that may be interested in imposing parking fees.
- b. **Mayor Dolan** informed the Council that he would be traveling to Washington D.C. on Monday to meet with the Congressional Delegation to discuss funding options on various projects of interest to the City.
- c. **Mayor Dolan** reminded the Council of the Administration's Retreat Scheduled for Thursday, March 1<sup>st</sup> and Friday March 2<sup>nd</sup>, in Heber City.
- d. **Mayor Dolan** inquired about Scott Cowdell's surgery, and how he was feeling.

**Linda Saville** reported that Mr. Cowdell was home and recuperating from knee surgery, and doing well.

9. **CAO'S REPORT**

- a. **Byron Jorgenson** informed the Council that the finalized copy of the Dan Jones survey could be sent to Council members electronically if they were interested. A hard copy of the survey will be on file in the Council Office.

9. **OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS**

- a. **Bryant Anderson** asked if the City receives a percentage of the revenues generated from the Expo Center, particularly for the service rendered by our Police Department for public safety.. Mr. Anderson expressed concern over revenues from parking fees stated that he would have great concerns if the County begins to charge parking fees and keep all the revenues for themselves.

**Mayor Dolan** reported that the city receives revenues from the exhibitor business license fees, and the sales tax revenues generated by the populace who shops and eats in Sandy. He noted that currently, no Sandy Police Officers are assigned to patrol the Expo Center. Mayor Dolan stated that the jurisdiction to charge parking fees at the Expo Center falls under a municipality's authority. One of the great successes of the Expo Center is the free parking.

- b. **Linda Saville** asked what the status was on the marquee sign at the Expo Center.

**Mayor Dolan** reported that he was not certain why the sign has not been installed since funding was approved some three years ago.

- d. **Steve Smith** asked if there were any future plans to expand the northern end of the Expo Center.

**Mayor Dolan** stated not at this time. He believes as bonds are paid on the Salt Palace and Expo Center, there could be a concept presented to expand the Expo Center. Mayor Dolan reported that the Expo Center is one of the most popular centers in the nation, and operates in the black.

- e. **Dennis Tenney** suggested inviting members of the Salt Lake County Council for a breakfast meeting in order to facilitate better relations between both entities.

- f. **Linda Martinez Saville** informed the Council that Pat Adair's mother had passed away and requested that flowers be sent to the family on behalf of the Council.

- g. **Chris McCandless** asked when funding would become available for the widening of 106<sup>th</sup> South near 17<sup>th</sup> East.

**Rick Smith** reported that funding for that portion of 106<sup>th</sup> South would become available sometime n 2008.

**At approximately 7:45 p.m., Bryant Anderson made a motion to adjourn Council Meeting, motion seconded by Chris McCandless.**

**The content of the minutes is not intended, nor are they submitted, as a verbatim transcription of the meeting. These minutes are a brief overview of what occurred at the meeting.**

---

**Dennis B. Tenney**  
**Council Chairman**

---

**Pam Lehman**  
**Council Executive Secretary**

**Xm022707.min**